UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,219	02/27/2004	Jean-Luc Jestin	248628US0X	5396
22850 7590 07/28/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WILDER, CYNTHIA B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/787,219	JESTIN ET AL.		
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CYNTHIA B. WILDER	1637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address –		
 The amendment filed on <u>15 July 2008</u> under 37 CFR 1.3 a) ☐ entered. 	312 has been considered, and has be	een:		
b) 🛛 entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	the scope of the invention.			
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the date the issue fee and the required fee to withdraw the application	e is paid must be accompanied by a	petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)		
d) disapproved. See explanation below.				
e) entered in part. See explanation below.				
The admendment filed on July 15, 2008 will be entered as the amendment does not affect the scope of the invention but clarifies the identification of the mutations recited therein with the appropriate sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO). The specification supports the amendment along with the declaration filed on 3/28/2008. In response to Applicant's request concerning confirmation of the allowed claims, it is noted that the claims 1-8, 17-22, 78, 79 and 80 have been allowed. The claims 9, 10, and 23-77 have been canceled sa being drawn to a non-elected invention.				
/GARY BENZION/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1637	/cbw/			